

Children vertically exposed to HIV demand care related to prophylaxis, follow-up in specialised service and food and nutrition. Breastmilk is the best way to feed a child and one of the most important interventions to reduce the risk of infant mortality. On the other hand, HIV positive women are discouraged from breastfeeding because of the risk of HIV transmission. Thus, in Brazil, children are guaranteed by law the integral and free supply of infant formula. Recognising the dependence of family care provided by feeding vertically exposed children to HIV, a research was developed to evaluate the family capacity to take care of the feeding of children exposed to HIV. A cross-sectional study, with the population of children born to caregivers exposed to HIV, aged zero to 24 months old, ongoing monitoring of health referral service in southern Brazil. Data collection performed with the application of the Scale to assess the capacity to care for children exposed to HIV and the Brazilian Scale of Food Insecurity. occurrence of three cases of breastfeeding supply, two made by HIV positive mothers and cross-feeding under unknown HIV status. The ability to prepare and administer the milk powder and to prepare and administer complementary feeding evidences the vulnerability of this population. Health professionals should ensure timely and adequate guidance to the family's understanding of the risk of vertical transmission. In order to ensure compliance with prophylaxis and safe and adequate feeding for non-breastfed infants, providing them with knowledge and skills in the daily care at home. Consequently, minimising their vulnerabilities, since parents are co-responsible for the health of their children. The family needs health education actions in an ongoing way to develop the daily care of the child.

P4.58 ABSTRACT WITHDRAWN

P4.59 ONLINE VERSUS IN-PERSON TESTING: A QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF TESTING PREFERENCES AMONG YOUTH AND MEN WHO HAVE SEX WITH MEN USING AN ONLINE HIV/STI TESTING SERVICE IN VANCOUVER, CANADA

¹Mark Gilbert, ¹Kimberly Thomson, ²Cathy Chabot, ¹Devon Haag, ³Jean Shoveller. ¹British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada; ²University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ³British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Vancouver, Canada

10.1136/sextrans-2017-053264.555

Introduction Youth and men who have sex with men (MSM) are two priority populations with regards to sexual risk, HIV/STI prevalence, and barriers to sexual healthcare. In 2014, an online HIV/STI testing service called *GetCheckedOnline* (GCO) was implemented in Vancouver, Canada to address several barriers to testing. We investigated the acceptability and perceptions of GCO among youth and MSM, and identified how various social positions related to age, sexual identity, and geography affected preferences for online versus in-person testing.

Methods We conducted in-depth, semi-structured interviews with 12 youth (ages 23–29) and 19 MSM (ages 30–71) who had used GCO at least once. Interviews were analysed for emergent themes and participants' sociodemographic data were collected via a brief questionnaire.

Results Youth participants identified predominantly as male (92%), Caucasian (58%), and heterosexual (50%). MSM participants identified as male (100%); Caucasian (84%); and gay, bisexual, or pansexual (68%, 26%, 5%). Both populations were motivated to use online testing for: convenience, not having to wait to get tested at a clinic, increased privacy/anonymity, and avoiding judgment from healthcare providers. Additionally, youth perceived online testing as modern and "the future." MSM participants perceived GCO as providing increased control over tests ordered and decreased anxiety due to receiving results faster. For three rurally-based MSM, GCO offered a way to test discreetly without identifying one's sexual orientation to a healthcare provider. Even among participants who reported routinely accessing face-to-face health services (including for health concerns other than STIs), GCO was described by most interviewees as advantageous in terms of convenience and privacy. Overall, 83% of youth and 84% of MSM said they would use GCO again.

Conclusion GCO was regarded as an acceptable and preferred option for accessing testing. Convenience was the most common reason for wanting to test online, although this varied somewhat by age, sexual orientation, and geography.

P4.60 HOW DOES SEXUAL HEALTH CLINIC ATTENDANCE RELATE TO RISK BEHAVIOUR? FINDINGS FROM BRITAIN'S THIRD NATIONAL SURVEY OF SEXUAL ATTITUDES AND LIFESTYLES (NATSAL-3)

¹Mercer Ch, ²Rebecca Geary, ¹Clare Tanton, ¹Soazig Clifton, ¹Fiona Mapp, ³Jackie Cassell, ¹Pam Sonnenberg. ¹UCL, London, UK; ²LSHTM, London, UK; ³Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton, UK

10.1136/sextrans-2017-053264.556

Introduction In Britain, sexual health clinics (SHC) attendance has increased in recent decades. SHC remain the commonest place of STI diagnosis but many with STI risk behaviours do not attend. We explored attendance patterns and service preferences in those reporting 'unsafe sex' (condomless first sex with new partner and/or ≥ 2 partners with no condom use, past year).

Methods Complex survey analyses of Natsal-3, a probability survey of 15 162 people aged 16–74 years (6293 men), undertaken 2010–2012 using computer-assisted personal- and self-interviewing (CAPI/CASI).

Results Overall, recent SHC attendance (past year) was highest among those aged 16–24y (16.6% men, 22.4% women) and decreased with age (<1.5% among those aged 45–74y). Approximately 18% of those 16–44y (n=771 men; n=1080 women) reported unsafe sex; of these, >75% had not attended a SHC in the past year. Among those reporting unsafe sex, non-attenders were older, and less likely to report >2 partners and/or concurrent partners (past year). Most of these non-attenders did not report chlamydia (73% men, 41% women aged <25; 86% men, 73% women aged 25+) or HIV (97% men, 93% women) testing elsewhere (past year). The majority reporting unsafe sex who had previously attended a SHC would seek STI care there (72% men, 66% women), whereas most who had not would go to general practice (66% men, 77% women).

Conclusion While SHC attendance was more likely among those reporting STI risk behaviour, many reporting unsafe sex had not attended and most of these did not report chlamydia or HIV tests, indicating they are not receiving sexual