of CT and NG in different anatomic sites among Chinese MSM.

Methods Participants were enrolled in a free sexually transmitted diseases (STD) screening program if they self-reported MSM status and had never previously received rectal or oral STD screening. Exclusion criteria included taking antibiotics in the past month. Sociodemographic information, sexual history, and medical history data were collected before taking any samples. Samples were obtained from the rectum, oropharynx, and urethra according to sexual exposure sites. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) were used to detect CT and NG.

Results 380 MSM were enrolled between January 2015 and December 2016. The mean age of participants was 29.79 ± 9.10 years, and 18.2% (69/380) were married. Anorectal CT was detected in 18.6% (44/237) of samples and anorectal NG in 10.1% (24/237). Urethral CT was detected in 12.3% (27/219) of samples, and urethral NG in 7.8% (17/219) of samples as well. Of 255 oropharyngeal samples, CT was detected in only 2.0% (5/255) and NG in 5.1% (13/255). Two participants (1.5%, 2/132) were infected with CT and 1 (0.8%, 1/132) with NG at both rectal and urethral sites, three participants (1.4%, 3/208) were infected with CT and 8 (3.9%, 8/208) with NG at both rectal and oropharyngeal anatomic sites. None participants were infected at three anatomic sites.

Conclusion Our findings show that the prevalence of chlamydial and gonococcal infections are high among Chinese MSM, efforts to control CT and NG infection among this key population is of significant public health importance.

017.2 POPULATION EFFECTIVENESS OF HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS VACCINATION AGAINST ANOGENITAL WARTS AMONG FEMALE ENROLLEES IN PRIVATE HEALTH PLANS IN THE UNITED STATES, 2006–2014

Elaine W Flagg, Elizabeth A Torrone. *Division of STD Prevention, National Centre for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention*

10.1136/sextrans-2017-053264.97

Introduction Quadrivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine was first licensed for use in the United States (US) for females in June 2006. Vaccination uptake has increased over time; among girls aged 13–17 years, coverage with ≥ 1 dose was 25% in 2007 and 60% in 2014. Population effectiveness of HPV vaccination against anogenital warts (AGW) has been difficult to estimate because few data sources link vaccination and diagnosis information.

Methods Using healthcare claims data for 2003–2014, we created a cohort of 2 70 481 females aged 9–17 in 2006 who were continuously enrolled (1) for at least 1.5 years prior to June 2006 and (2) subsequent to June 2006 through at least age 18. AGW diagnoses, and age at receipt of first and second dose (≥ 6 months subsequent to first dose) of quadrivalent vaccine, were ascertained. Doses received after first AGW diagnosis, or at age ≥ 18 , were not considered. Cumulative risk (hazard) of AGW was compared for unvaccinated females and those who received 1 or 2 doses.

Results Median age at first vaccination was 15 years; only 28% of the cohort received ≥ 1 dose. Vaccination at age 9–12 was highly protective; vaccine effectiveness (VE), computed as $[1 - \text{hazard ratio}] \times 100$, was 87% ($p < 0.001$) for 1 dose and 92% ($p < 0.001$) for 2 doses. VE among those first vaccinated at age 13–14 was similar for 1 and 2 doses (83% and

80% respectively, $p < 0.001$ for both estimates), but was lower if the second dose was received at age 15–17 (65%, $p < 0.001$). Among those first vaccinated at age 15–17, VE was much lower (21% for 1 dose and 42% for 2 doses), but was still significantly protective ($p < 0.001$ for both estimates).

Conclusion VE estimates among girls vaccinated prior to age 15 in this privately-insured population were comparable to estimates reported for adult intention-to-treat clinical trial subjects. Vaccination at age ≥ 15 , while protective, was less effective, particularly for those receiving only 1 dose. Increasing US vaccination coverage prior to age 15 should result in enhanced population-level effectiveness against AGW.

017.3 PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS (PREP) ELIGIBILITY AND BARRIERS TO UPTAKE AMONG PERSONS WHO INJECT DRUGS RECRUITED FROM A SYRINGE EXCHANGE PROGRAM (SEP)

¹Alexis M Roth, ¹Brenna Aumaier, ¹Brogan L Piccara, ²Zsafia Szep, ³Martha Chavis, ⁴Barbara Van Der Pol. ¹*Dornsife School of Public Health Department of Community Health and Prevention, Drexel University, Philadelphia, USA;* ²*College of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Drexel University, Philadelphia, USA;* ³*Camden Area Health Education Centre, Camden, USA;* ⁴*School of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Birmingham, USA*

10.1136/sextrans-2017-053264.98

Introduction Despite demonstrated clinical efficacy, little research has focused on implementing pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) programs to prevent HIV among persons who inject drugs (PWID). We sought to determine the proportion of PWID meeting PrEP eligibility criteria and their attitudes towards PrEP as a first step in this process.

Methods Participants were English-speaking PWID age ≥ 18 years recruited from a syringe exchange program in Northeastern United States. They self-obtained specimens for gonorrhoea and chlamydia screening and completed a survey assessing factors hypothesised to impact PrEP eligibility and uptake. Eligibility was calculated based on CDC clinical guidelines and included injection drug use plus any affirmative response to: sharing syringes, recent or current STI, sex exchange, having a sex partner of HIV positive or unknown status, inconsistent condom use with a high number of sex partners and opioid replacement therapy all within 6 months.

Results Of 138 participants, 47% were women, 80% white with median age of 31. Eligibility was high (90.3%). Compared to men, women were more likely to report engaging in: vaginal sex, sex with a person of HIV positive or unknown status; sex exchange, greater numbers of sex partners, and screen positive for STI. Willingness to take PrEP was high (88.9% vs 71.0% among women and men, $p < 0.02$). However, potential barriers to uptake were common and included: embarrassment (45%), anxiety (51.6%), not wanting to disclose PrEP use to a romantic partner (51.4%), being uninsured (30.1%), and not accessing providers where PrEP is routinely offered (52.9%).

Conclusion Despite being recruited from a SEP, 9:10 participants were eligible for PrEP. While participants found PrEP acceptable, they reported multiple barriers to uptake including lack of access to clinical care and insurance. To optimise and scale PrEP programs for this population additional research is needed. However, data suggest uptake will remain sub-optimal unless tailored interventions are developed.