

# Bottlenecks to HIV care and treatment in sub-Saharan Africa: a multi-country qualitative study

Alison Wringe,<sup>1</sup> Jenny Renju,<sup>1</sup> Janet Seeley,<sup>2,7,3</sup> Mosa Moshabela,<sup>3,4</sup> Morten Skovdal<sup>5,6</sup>

The expansion in the provision of life-saving antiretroviral therapy (ART) in sub-Saharan Africa over the past 15 years has been an unprecedented achievement for public health. By the end of 2015, an estimated 10.3 million persons living with HIV (PLHIV) were receiving ART in southern and eastern Africa, the most affected region in the world. Just over half of all PLHIV in the region are now receiving ART, more than double the number just 3 years earlier.<sup>1</sup>

ART scale-up has dramatically reduced HIV-related mortality and morbidity, bringing countless social and economic benefits to communities that had been hard hit by the epidemic. The network for Analysing Longitudinal Population data on HIV/AIDS (ALPHA), a collaboration among 10 health and demographic surveillance system (HDSS) sites in east and southern Africa, has been investigating declines in adult mortality and their causes in seven countries ([www.lshtm.ac.uk/alpha](http://www.lshtm.ac.uk/alpha)).<sup>2</sup> Recent analyses from seven sites indicate a substantial impact of HIV treatment programmes on adult mortality following the expansion of ART, with declines ranging from 58% to 84%.<sup>3</sup>

Despite this progress, there remains a substantial deficit in overall life expectancy among adults living with HIV, with their survival between 5 and 10 years less than among uninfected adults.<sup>4</sup> These 'excess' deaths among PLHIV are occurring due to late diagnosis, poor linkage to care and treatment, and ART interruptions.<sup>5</sup> By the

end of 2015, an estimated 44% of PLHIV in southern and eastern Africa remained undiagnosed,<sup>6</sup> and rates of linkage to care after diagnosis range widely from 17% to 78%, while ART initiation among those eligible for treatment ranges from 14% to 95%.<sup>7</sup> A number of studies have documented reasons for delays in testing, and initiating and adhering to treatment including beliefs that treatment is for people who are sick, fear of side effects and concerns about stigma.<sup>8-11</sup>

In 2014, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS and partners set the '90-90-90 targets' to drive the efforts for AIDS elimination by 2030, prompting the widespread adoption of 'test and treat' strategies. Understanding and addressing these existing bottlenecks in HIV care and treatment will be critical to attaining the 90-90-90 targets and achieving a future free of AIDS. Qualitative research can help to elucidate the social norms, practices and interactions that drive patients' varied engagement with HIV care and treatment services in different contexts. By exploring the perspectives of HIV service users, their family member and health providers, it is possible to elicit how the interactions between patients and health services take form and are shaped by broader community responses to the epidemic.

In this context, we undertook a multi-country qualitative study to explore the bottlenecks to HIV care and treatment in seven HDSS sites within the ALPHA Network: Kisumu (Kenya), Rakai, Kyambaliwa (Uganda), Kisesa (Tanzania), Karonga (Malawi), uMkhanyakude (South Africa) and Manicaland (Zimbabwe). The overall aim of the 'Bottlenecks Study' was to explore how contextual, social and health systems factors influence the engagement of PLHIV with HIV care and treatment in seven HDSS in sub-Saharan Africa. By undertaking the research in health and demographic surveillance sites, we were able to sample PLHIV with different HIV care and treatment histories, including those who had been diagnosed but not yet initiated care, or those who

had disengaged from the HIV care process. Both of these groups are notoriously difficult to include in qualitative studies, partly due to difficulties in recruiting them in the absence of household identifiers and preobtained consent to contact them for research purposes. As a result, their voices and stories are often missing from accounts of HIV service use, which can risk focusing policy recommendations on those who face relatively fewer challenges to HIV care engagement. Furthermore, the availability of verbal autopsy data sets in HDSS sites, used to assign cause of death for better HIV surveillance, enabled us to contact and interview the family members of some PLHIV who had died from AIDS-related causes, providing a rarely obtained family member's perspective of the events and circumstances surrounding the death of their relative. Further details on the sampling frames, study settings and methods for the study in each site are available as online Supplementary file 1.

Undertaking a qualitative study across so many settings presents a multitude of challenges, as well as opportunities, not least in relation to the analysis and interpretation of the data. In our study, all of the sites had some characteristics in common, namely their rural nature, similar provision of HIV treatment services through small health centres and dispensaries since 2004–2005, and a generalised HIV epidemic. Despite these similarities, drawing out comparisons between study sites in qualitative studies can be complex, because some apparent differences may reflect how the data were produced, rather than variation in the implementation of national HIV policies or sociocultural contexts, with the former being more complex to attribute to the observed differences in the data. For these reasons, for each paper, we chose to include data from sites where the findings were broadly similar.

In this special issue of *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, we present the findings from the Bottlenecks Study. We chose to explore patients' experiences at different stages of HIV care and treatment, that is, HIV testing, access to ART and retention, with a view to understanding how PLHIV, in the context of their social worlds, interact with these HIV services. Combined, the papers provide an analytical spotlight to the multiple tensions that exist between HIV programmes and the complex social realities that often characterise the lives of PLHIV in each study setting.

In a guest editorial to this special issue, Kielmann and Cataldo argue that

<sup>1</sup>Department of Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Medical Research Council/Uganda Virus Research Institute Research Unit on AIDS, Entebbe, Uganda

<sup>3</sup>Department of Global Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>4</sup>Africa Health Research Institute, KwaZulu Natal, South Africa

<sup>5</sup>University of KwaZulu Natal, Durban, South Africa

<sup>6</sup>University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>7</sup>Biomedical Research and Training Institute, Harare, Zimbabwe

**Correspondence to** Dr Alison Wringe, Department of Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St, London WC1E7HT, UK; [alison.wringe@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:alison.wringe@lshtm.ac.uk)

bottlenecks to HIV care and treatment can be situated historically in the context of previous ART roll-out approaches. They explore how spatial, temporal and relational parameters of the current drive towards universal test-and-treat models have evolved over the past 15 years.<sup>12</sup>

In the first research article in this special issue, Wringe and colleagues consider how experiences of PLHIV during their HIV testing encounters in the different HDSS may influence subsequent engagement in HIV care.<sup>13</sup> They show that some of the principles that should underlie all HIV testing and counselling practices, such as consent, confidentiality and good quality counselling, may be modified or omitted by health workers to achieve perceived health benefits or policy targets. They argue that while such actions arguably save lives and increase HIV diagnosis rates, they may also jeopardise efforts to connect many diagnosed PLHIV to the long-term HIV care necessary for elimination of AIDS by 2030.

Bukenya and colleagues<sup>14</sup> focus their research on those PLHIV who, despite knowing their HIV status, have not yet initiated HIV treatment. In trying to understand why this is the case, they unravel a range of persistent barriers pertaining to the affordability, availability and acceptability of HIV services. The barriers suggest that current models of HIV care and delivery still fail to consider the sociocultural context and economic position of PLHIV. The authors call for greater patient-centredness in the delivery of HIV care and treatment.

In their paper, Renju and colleagues pick up on an observation also made by Bukenya *et al*, namely that embodied experiences of HIV influence their acceptance of ART.<sup>15</sup> The authors also note that across all the study sites, health providers, in an effort to achieve viral suppression, often failed to recognise the immediate needs that PLHIV face when enduring debilitating side effects. This could contribute to disengagement with HIV services, particularly among PLHIV who had not experienced the transformational effects of ART. They hypothesise that PLHIV who have not experienced AIDS-defining illnesses are less likely to find the motivation for tolerating incapacitating side effects that are common with ART.

The study by McLean and colleagues on Option B+ for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission services in Malawi, Tanzania and Uganda highlights the importance of identifying and capitalising on factors that motivate engagement

with HIV care and treatment attenuating the impact of potential barriers.<sup>16</sup> They found that a woman's role as a mother was a powerful motivator to accept HIV care and treatment, over-riding other barriers to starting ART. Healthcare workers used the narrative of 'protecting the unborn child' tactically to amplify the benefits of this motivator.

Bonnington and colleagues examine the role of stigma in shaping engagement with HIV services in all of the study sites. By looking at the temporality of stigma (stigma in the everyday, over biographical time or historically), the authors disentangle different manifestations of stigma.<sup>17</sup> They highlight how stigmatising attitudes, in their multiple and temporal forms, often disrupt testing and can also contribute to the termination of postdiagnosis care. They call for stigma mitigation strategies that consider the temporality of stigma, tailored to the different stages of HIV care.

The interactions between patients and providers throughout the spectrum of HIV care and treatment services also influence patient engagement in care. Ondenge and colleagues elicit some of the broader contextual factors and dynamics that shape patient-provider interactions in five of the study sites.<sup>18</sup> Their analysis demonstrates that patient-provider interactions are complex, multidimensional, deeply embedded in wider social dynamics and shaped by multiple ecological domains. They argue that greater efforts are needed to enhance patient-provider dialogue and empower patients to make decisions about their own health to improve their engagement in HIV care.

National HIV programmes are not the only providers of HIV care. Moshabela and colleagues look at the multitude of actors engaged with HIV care and examine how biomedical HIV services intersect with traditional, complementary and alternative medicine and care in all the sites.<sup>19</sup> They find that although traditional/faith-based care was mostly treated as secondary and complementary to biomedical treatment, medical pluralism mediated pathways to HIV care continuum through treatment delays and interruptions and further fuelled fears of drug-to-drug interactions. The authors call for more collaborative culture-sensitive approaches to healthcare in sub-Saharan Africa.

Wamoyi and colleagues draw our attention to the interplay between couple dynamics and HIV care-seeking behaviour in South Africa, Tanzania and Malawi.<sup>20</sup> Their analysis reveals that couple

dynamics influenced engagement with HIV testing, care and treatment for both partners through a myriad of pathways. Supportive partnerships could strengthen engagement with HIV services; however, for many couples, fear of blame, abandonment or abuse resulted in unwillingness to disclose HIV status to each other. Many couples therefore did not engage with HIV services together, and instead allowed secrecy and mistrust to interfere with their HIV treatment and care.

By way of summarising, Skovdal and colleagues consider the potential of 'theories of practice' for studying and understanding these varied engagements with HIV services across all of the participating sites.<sup>21</sup> Their theoretical bird's-eye perspective suggests that engagement with HIV services is contingent on the availability, absence and connections between requisite 'materialities' (eg, health infrastructure, medicines), 'competencies' (eg, knowing what and knowing how to live with HIV) and 'meanings' (eg, trust in HIV services, stigma, normalisation of HIV) and other life practices.

In conclusion, the papers in this volume illustrate how the ability of PLHIV to engage with HIV services is limited by a range of relational, symbolic, material and institutional factors in study settings across eastern and southern Africa. Greater acknowledgement of these issues, and imaginative, bold approaches to address them, must be at the forefront of policies and programmes to deliver HIV care and treatment, if the ambitious goal of AIDS elimination by 2030 is to be reached.

**Handling editor** Jackie A Cassell

**Acknowledgements** We would like to acknowledge the support of the ALPHA Network and the representatives at each HDSS who facilitated the implementation of the fieldwork described in this editorial and subsequent special issue. We would also like to thank the many other colleagues within the ALPHA Network who made helpful suggestions throughout the design and conduct of the research. Finally we would like to thank all the participants and fieldworkers who contributed their time and effort to the study.

**Contributors** The editorial was conceived by all authors. AW and MS prepared the first draft. All authors reviewed and commented on each iteration.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent** Obtained.

**Ethics approval** Ethical approval was granted by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine and the relevant ethics boards at each of the study settings. These were: Malawi National Health Sciences Research Committee #15/5/1427(Karonga); Medical Research Coordination Committee is MR/53/100/370(Kisesa); Uganda National Council for Science and technology (UNCST)-HS1857(Kyamulibwa), Office of the President

ADM154/212/01 (Rakai); Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI) Scientific and Ethics Review Unit (SERU) KEMRI/SERU/CGHR/018/3115 (Kisumu); Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe MRCZ/A/1990(Manicaland) and University of KwaZulu Natal (UKZN) UKZN/BE338/15(uMkhanyakude).

**Provenance and peer review** Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



## OPEN ACCESS

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2017-053172>).



CrossMark

**To cite** Wringe A, Renju J, Seeley J, *et al.* *Sex Transm Infect* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2017-053172

Received 15 May 2017  
Accepted 20 May 2017

*Sex Transm Infect* 2017;0:1–3.  
doi:10.1136/sextrans-2017-053172

## REFERENCES

- UNAIDS. *Global AIDS Update*. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2016.
- Reniers G, Wamukoya M, Urassa M, *et al.* Data Resource Profile: network for Analysing Longitudinal Population-based HIV/AIDS data on Africa (ALPHA Network). *Int J Epidemiol* 2016;45:83–93.
- Reniers G, Slaymaker E, Nakiyingi-Miuro J, *et al.* Mortality trends in the era of antiretroviral therapy: evidence from the Network for Analysing Longitudinal Population based HIV/AIDS data on Africa (ALPHA). *AIDS* 2014;28(Suppl 4):S533–42.
- Cawley C, Wringe A, Todd J, *et al.* Risk factors for service use and trends in coverage of different HIV testing and counselling models in northwest Tanzania between 2003 and 2010. *Trop Med Int Health* 2015;20:1473–87.
- Slaymaker E, Hosegood V. *Scale and distribution of excess deaths among HIV positive adults by diagnosis, care and treatment history in African population based cohorts 2007-2011*. Melbourne: International AIDS Society, 2014.
- UNAIDS, 90-90-90. *On the right track towards the global target*. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2016.
- Ruzagira E, Baisley K, Kamali A, *et al.* Linkage to HIV care after home-based HIV counselling and testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Trop Med Int Health* 2017.
- van der Kop ML, Thabane L, Awiti PO, *et al.* Advanced HIV disease at presentation to care in Nairobi, Kenya: late diagnosis or delayed linkage to care?—a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2016;16:1.
- Parrott FR, Mwafalirwa C, Ngwira B, *et al.* Combining qualitative and quantitative evidence to determine factors leading to late presentation for antiretroviral therapy in Malawi. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e27917.
- Nyika H, Mugurungi O, Shambira G, *et al.* Factors associated with late presentation for HIV/AIDS care in Harare City, Zimbabwe, 2015. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:1;16:1.
- Mhozya H, Bintabara D, Kibusi S, *et al.* Late-stage disease at presentation to an HIV clinic in Eastern Tanzania: a Retrospective cross-sectional study. *Malawi Med J* 2015;27:125–7.
- Kielmann K, Cataldo F. Engaging with HIV care systems: why space, time, and social relations matter. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Wringe A, *et al.* HIV testing experiences and their implications for patient engagement with HIV care and treatment on the eve of 'test and treat': findings from a multi-country qualitative study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Bukenya D, Wringe A, Moshabela M, *et al.* Where are we now? A multicountry qualitative study to explore access to pre-antiretroviral care services: a precursor to antiretroviral therapy initiation. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017;sextrans-2016-052970.
- Renju J, *et al.* 'Side effects' are 'central effects': a multi-country qualitative study to understand the challenges of retention in HIV care and treatment programmes in sub-Saharan Africa. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- McLean E, *et al.* 'I wanted to safeguard the baby': a qualitative study to understand the experiences of Option B+ for pregnant women and the potential implications for 'test-and-treat' in four sub-Saharan African settings. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Bonnington O, *et al.* Changing forms of HIV-related stigma along the HIV care and treatment continuum in sub-Saharan Africa: a temporal analysis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Ondenge K, *et al.* 'I am treated well if I adhere to my HIV medication': Putting patient-provider interactions in context through insights from qualitative research in five sub-Saharan African countries. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Moshabela M, *et al.* Traditional healers, faith healers and medical practitioners: the contribution of medical pluralism to bottlenecks along the cascade of care for HIV/AIDS in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Wamoyi J, *et al.* Understanding the relationship between couple dynamics and engagement with HIV care services: insights from a qualitative study in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.
- Skovdal M, *et al.* Using theories of practice to understand HIV-positive persons varied engagement with HIV services: a qualitative study in six sub-Saharan african countries. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017.