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ABSTRACT

Objective Up to 60% of patients decline routine HIV testing offer in US emergency departments (EDs). The objective of this study is to determine whether the provision of HIV self-testing (HIVST) kit would increase engagement of HIV testing among these HIV test ‘Decliners’.

Methods Patients who declined a test offered in an ED-based triage nurse-driven HIV screening programme were enrolled and randomised to either the HIVST or the control group. The patients in the HIVST group received HIVST kits to take home, were encouraged to report test results to an established internet-based STI/HIV testing recruitment website ‘I Want the Kit’ (IWTK) and received five referral cards for their peers to request HIVST kits from IWTK. The control group received pamphlets about publicly available HIV testing sites. HIV testing from both groups after enrolment was determined via telephone follow-up at 1 month. Testing rate ratio (RR) was determined using χ² tests.

Results Fifty-two patients were randomised to the HIVST group and 48 to the control group. Among all 64 patients completing any follow-up, 14/29 (48%) patients in the HIVST group tested themselves at home with the provided kit. Four of these had never had an HIV test. Only 2/35 (6%) in the control group reported having an HIV test after enrolment (RR: 8.45 (95% CI: 2.09 to 34.17)). 57% (8/14) in the HIVST group reported test results to IWTK.

Conclusion Provision of HIVST kits supplements ED-based screening programme and significantly improved engagement of HIV testing among those test ‘Decliners’ in the ED.

Trial registration number NCT03021005, results.

INTRODUCTION

Emergency departments (EDs) have identified thousands of undiagnosed patients and linked the majority of them to HIV care.1 Despite efforts to streamline the testing programme, up to 60% of the patients decline HIV testing from a non-targeted opt-out screening programme in urban EDs.2 Novel testing strategies and approaches to overcome factors associated with HIV test decline in EDs have been proposed.3,4 One of such approaches that have been demonstrated to be feasible and acceptable is HIV self-testing (HIVST).5

Our objective was to offer home testing to those patients who declined HIV testing in EDs and engage more patients in HIV testing by providing an opportunity for patients to test themselves confidentially and in private. We planned an innovative approach of providing a free HIVST kit to take home for patients who had just declined an HIV test offer in EDs, ‘Decliners’. The aim of this study was to determine whether provision of HIVST kits to HIV testing ‘Decliners’ in EDs would increase testing engagement.

METHODS

From February to May of 2016, a randomised study was conducted in an urban ED where a routine triage nurse-driven non-targeted opt-out HIV screening programme operated. Patients aged ≥18 years, who declined HIV screening during the visit and were able to provide a verbal consent, were eligible. Eligible patients on discretion of clinicians were referred to a research coordinator during weekdays between 09:00 and 17:00. Consented patients took a baseline survey on a tablet before being randomised to the HIVST or the control group. The 31 survey questions inquired about patient’s sociodemographics, HIV testing history, reasons of declining testing offer at triage, willingness to take home an HIVST kit and use it. Randomisation was double-blinded based on 110 sealed envelopes prepared by a third person with 1:1 preassigned randomisation allocation.

HIVST patients received the Food and Drug Administration-approved home use oral-fluid HIVST kit, OraQuick In-Home HIV Test (OraSure, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, USA). Instruction sheets on how to perform HIVST and interpret the test results were provided. They were encouraged to report HIVST test results with the specific unique codes provided on the instruction sheet to an established internet-based STIs/HIV testing recruitment website—‘I Want the Kit’ (IWTK) (www.iwantthekit.org), for recruiting participants to self-collect samples at home and mail them to a laboratory to test for STIs. Whereas patients in the control group received an informational pamphlet on the
importance of HIV testing and list of testing sites in Baltimore. Both instruction sheet and informational pamphlet had the Flesch-Kincaid reading scale of the fifth grade level. All enrolled patients were followed up by phone 1 month after enrolment.

Follow-up phone surveys consisted of questions regarding any HIV testing after enrolment. HIVST patients were additionally asked if they used the provided HIVST kit to test themselves and reported their self-test results on the IWTK website, their perception of HIVST and whether the participant was able to distribute referral cards to partners and peers.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive data analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4. Rate ratio (RR) was used for comparison of HIV testing rates between two groups by the χ² test.

RESULTS
Overall, 100 (79%) of 126 referred patients were enrolled. Fifty-two patients were randomised to the HIVST group and 48 patients to the control group. Patients’ sociodemographics are summarised in table 1. Regarding HIV testing history, 43 (43%) patients had not been tested during the past year, including 16 patients reporting that they have never been tested for HIV. The main reasons for declining an ED-based HIV testing were their perception of not being at risk (n=40) and recent HIV testing (n=39). There were no statistically significant differences in sociodemographics between the HIVST and control group. All HIVST participants agreed to take home an HIVST kit.

At a 1-month follow-up, 64 participants provided their HIV testing information after the enrolment. Fourteen (48%) of 29 HIVST patients reported being tested for HIV using the HIVST kit provided, while 2 (6%) of 35 patients in the control group reported being tested at the medical care setting (RR: 8.45 (95% CI: 2.09 to 34.17)). Eight (57%) of 14 HIVST patients who had never been tested for HIV, 4 (40%) of 10 HIVST participants reported that they used the provided HIVST kit to test themselves while 1 (17%) of 6 patients in the control group reported receiving HIV testing after the enrolment. Among 29 patients in the HIVST group whom we were able to follow at 1 month, 10 (34%) reported that they had distributed referral cards to their partners and peers. However, none of the referral cards were used to request for an HIVST kit from IWTK.

DISCUSSION
In this study, our findings demonstrate that the provision of HIVST kits significantly increased HIV testing rate in ED HIV testing ‘Decliners’. Our approach provides an additional opportunity to engage patients who decline HIV testing, including those who had never been tested for HIV. In addition to the increased testing engagement, we noticed that HIVST patients accepted our ED-based intervention strategy, reflected by the fact that all HIVST patients took the provided kits home, many of them tested themselves at home and provided favourable comments at the phone follow-up (data not shown).

One of the main reasons for the significant increase in HIV testing engagement in HIVST patients could be that HIVST at home provides patients the comfort of testing at their own home, with complete confidentiality and privacy. Home HIVST could potentially eliminate the stigma associated with accepting an HIV test in EDs. The availability of supplementary HIV testing modalities such as the provision of HIVST kits might be a key strategy to reach testing decliners to increase their engagement for testing or even identify hidden undiagnosed infections.

Our study is one of the pioneer studies to provide kits to testing decliners in the ED setting, and many of whom had never been tested in their lifetime. Many patients, who perceived themselves at no risk for HIV or felt uncomfortable to have the HIV test performed in EDs, declined HIV testing offer as part of routine ED services. Thus, it is imperative to use acceptable alternate approaches to supplement the conventional ED-based clinician-driven testing programme in order to engage patients into HIV testing.

One-third of HIVST patients reported that they distributed the referral cards to their partners and/or peers for them to request an HIVST kit from IWTK. However, none used the referral cards
to request an HIVST kit. Several studies that applied peer-based referral approach to penetrate the social network of patients for engagement of their peers into HIV testing have had varied successes and shortcomings. Since this was not our primary outcome of this study, we were uncertain about the reasons why only so few participants distributed the referral cards and why there were no requests for HIVST kits from the peers. One of the likely reasons may be that two-thirds of the participants failed to distribute the referral cards, reflecting concerns and fears about how their partners or peers perceived. Alternatively, one possible reason for the lack of requests is that peers might have perceived themselves at no risk for HIV. Further studies using a mixed-method research approach to understand how decliners and their partners and peers feel regarding the social network referral for increasing engagement of HIV testing are warranted, in order to develop a gender, racially, and culturally sensitive referral tool for this population, who perceive themselves at no risk.

Our study has several limitations. First, this was a single institution study, which could limit our findings from being generalised to other EDs. Second, our outcomes on HIV testing were based on patient self-reporting. Thus, our study is potentially susceptible to social desirability bias. Third, with a follow-up rate of 64%, which is similar to studies conducted in our ED, we might have underestimated or overestimated the RR due to the loss of follow-up. Finally, with a readability level of the fifth grade for both self-testing instruction and the informational pamphlet, this might have discouraged some participants to use HIVST kit or seek for a free HIV testing site. However, we expect that literacy would not bias our outcomes towards one group of participants due to the nature of randomisation.

In summary, we demonstrated that provision of home HIVST kits to patients, who had just declined an HIV test in EDs, resulted in at least eight times more HIV testing among the ‘Decliners’ 1 month later compared with the control group. This approach changed the minds of patients, many of whom had never tested for HIV and perceived themselves at no risk for HIV. We believe that this novel ‘Take an HIV self-testing kit home’ strategy could supplement the current ED-based HIV screening programmes in order to increase the overall HIV testing uptake.
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