Conclusion It is pertinent to point out the role of counseling, both pretest and posttest, in the National AIDS Control Program, India. ICTC provides comprehensive services, for identification and documentation of HIV related co-infections including TB and STIs. Very few studies have been conducted in our country analysing the outcome of linkage between National programs (NACP-IV, RNTCP and National STD control programs). Our centre took the initiative to study this linkage to aid in better patient outcome.
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**Background** Men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender women (TGW) have increased risk for syphilis and HIV. Partner notification (PN) is an effective strategy to provide early diagnosis and improve treatment outcomes among sexual partners of individuals diagnosed with an STI. We conducted a qualitative study to examine perceptions, experiences, and preferences for PN among Black and Latino MSM and TGW in North Carolina (NC).

**Methods** We conducted seven focus groups (FG) with 50 purposively sampled participants across four NC counties with high rates of HIV and syphilis. Eligible participants were aged 18–44, identified as Black and/or Latino, had ever had sex with men, and identified as male or a TGW. We used a semi-structured guide to facilitate five groups in English and two in Spanish. We inductively analyzed data after each FG via field notes and team debriefs, organizing field notes in a matrix to identify crosscutting themes, and double-coding transcripts to systematically examine differences and similarities across salient themes.

**Results** Black males reported more familiarity and experiences with PN than Latino males and Black and Latino TGW. Generally, participants familiar with PN perceived the approach to locating clients as aggressive, while participants unfamiliar with PN viewed its theoretical application positively. All participants worried about personal privacy and stigma, on the part of PN staff or others. Poor communication and feeling harassed by staff during PN characterized negative experiences while empathy, privacy, choice and autonomy, and support with navigating services characterized positive experiences. Participants preferred using PN to notify casual partners but worried PN could antagonize relationships with steady partners.

**Conclusion** Participants prefer more choice, support and sensitivity in PN. The systematic integration of shared-decision making and service navigation into PN could transform community perceptions and improve the quality and success of PN.
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**Background** Partner Notification (PN) has long been considered essential for sexually transmissible infection (STI) control, but both policies and methods of implementation vary between countries. Although the provision of sexual health services has improved in Chile, syphilis is one of the most commonly reported STIs. This is the first study to explore the role of PN in syphilis control in public health services in Chile.

**Methods** A qualitative multiple case study was designed, using two regional Health Services as cases. Different data collection methods were used: a qualitative document analysis, a syphilis management clinic review in 20 health services, and semi-structured interviews with 48 healthcare providers (HCPs) and 10 key informants. The data was analysed using an inductive approach, with both within-case and cross-case thematic analysis. Selected quotes were translated from Spanish-English.

**Results** Findings revealed that syphilis management has a well-organised approach in public health services, but little knowledge or understanding of the infection among patients leads to a lack of recognition of the importance of informing sexual partners. The management of partner(s) was acknowledged as critical for syphilis control in the guidelines and by HCPs, but no document provides comprehensive information about delivering PN. Patient referral was used most commonly; however, interviewees commented that index cases do not discuss their partners easily and PN delivery is further impacted by gender and the socio-cultural context. PN was perceived by HCPs as an exhausting, difficult and challenging process due to poor public understanding of syphilis, absence of practical recommendations and health system limitations.

**Conclusion** Understanding the state of current policies and practices is important for improving PN in Chile and Latin America. Lack of clear guidelines and resources, as well as the impact that gender and socio-cultural aspects have on STI risk perceptions, should be considered to strengthen STI control at the population level.
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**Background** Network position contributes to STI risk because of broad sexual network connectivity. Relationship types (i.e., exclusive dating, hook ups) also influence STI risk. Few studies examine how sexual network position and relationship...
WHAT WORKS IN PARTNER NOTIFICATION FOR SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS, INCLUDING HIV? SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Background Partner notification (PN) is a core component of the management of sexually transmitted infections (STI). A range of methods can help to find, test and treat sexual partners of an infected person (index patient). The objective of this review was to assess the effects of different PN strategies in people with STI, including HIV infection.

Methods We conducted this review for the Cochrane STI Review Group. We searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in Cochrane, MEDLINE and EMBASE databases, reference lists and trial registries until 18 October 2018. Two independent reviewers conducted screening, selection and data extraction. Primary biological outcomes were: repeated infection in index cases with curable STI and new infections in partners of people with HIV. We conducted meta-analysis where appropriate.

Results We included 37 trials from 14 countries in Asia, Australia, Europe, South America, sub-Saharan Africa and USA in patients with bacterial STIs or trichomonas (n=26 trials), STI syndromes (n=5), HIV (n=5), mixed STI (n=1). The 37 trials assessed 56 comparisons between PN strategies, with the most common being enhanced patient referral (n=22) and expedited partner therapy (EPT, n=18) for curable STI and contact referral for HIV (n=3). For index cases with chlamydia, gonorrhoea or trichomonas as a combined outcome, EPT reduced repeat infection compared with simple patient referral (n=6,390, relative risk 0.71, 95% confidence interval, CI 0.59–0.86, I-squared 0%) but not compared with enhanced patient referral (n=1,220, relative risk 0.96, 95% CI 0.6–1.53, I-squared 33%). For HIV, contact referral, versus simple patient referral, increased the number of partners found infected (n=1,884, mean difference 0.15, 95% CI 0.06–0.24, I-squared 0%).

Conclusion EPT is better than simple patient referral, but not better than enhanced patient referral for curable STI. Many PN strategies have limited evidence. Future trials should strengthen evidence of effectiveness by evaluating existing PN strategies using biological outcomes.
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