had rectal CT. Our study is limited by size and inconsistent documentation of receptive anal sex, however evidence shows this to be a poor predictor of rectal CT infection. We limited our sample to a high prevalence population so our results may not be generalizable to lower prevalence groups.

**Disclosure** No significant relationships.
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**Background** There has been considerable discussion about anorectal Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) in women, but little about anorectal Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NG). This systematic review and meta-analysis investigates whether anorectal CT in women is associated with detection at other sites (urogenital, oropharyngeal) or anal intercourse and compares this with anorectal NG in the same populations.

**Methods** Electronic databases EMBASE, MEDLINE and PUBMED were searched for English-language studies published to October 2018 using the search terms: (“Chlamydia” OR “Chlamydia trachomatis”) AND (“anal” OR “rect*” OR “anorect*”) OR (“extra-genital” OR “multi-site”). Studies were included if anorectal NG data were available. The primary outcomes, CT and NG positivity, were measured as the proportion of those tested who were test positive. Prevalence ratios (PR) were calculated for the association of anorectal CT or NG with detection at other sites or anal intercourse. Random effects meta-analyses were used to calculate summary estimates; heterogeneity was investigated using meta-regression.

**Results** 25 studies were eligible. Anorectal CT positivity ranged from 0% to 17.3% with a summary estimate of 8.2% (95% CI: 7.2, 9.2; I2=86.4%). Anorectal NG positivity ranged from 0% to 17.0% with a summary estimate of 2.2% (95% CI: 1.6, 2.8; I2=92.6%). The association between urogenital and anorectal positivity was stronger for NG than CT (PR=82.2 [95% CI: 50.0, 140.9; I2=80.4%], PR=29.7 [95% CI 23.8, 37.1; I2=64.6%], respectively). Anal intercourse was associated with anorectal NG (PR=4.3; 95% CI: 2.18, 8.55; I2=0.0%) but not anorectal CT (PR=1.0; 95% CI: 0.71, 1.4; I2=0.0%).

**Conclusion** Discussion in the literature has focused on anorectal CT in women. This review found that although anorectal CT is more common, anorectal NG is more strongly associated with anal intercourse, urogenital, and oropharyngeal detection. Longitudinal data are required to further understanding of the etiology of anorectal STIs and to inform whether anorectal screening is needed in women.

**Disclosure** No significant relationships.
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**Background** Symptoms have been associated with Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infections in culture-based studies, in contrast to studies based on nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT). This may be because NAAT also detect non-viable bacteria. As culturing techniques are insensitive, we developed a sensitive polymerase chain reaction (V-PCR) technique to measure the viable bacterial load. We here assess the association between symptoms and viable load in 524 women with vaginal or rectal CT.

**Methods** Prior to treatment at three STI clinics, we included NAAT-CT-positive adult women (n=411 vaginal and rectal CT; n=88 only vaginal CT; n=25 only rectal CT), who were negative for HIV, syphilis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae (Netherlands, 2016–2017; FemCure). We assessed the viable rectal and vaginal load (log10 CT/ml) using V-PCR. We present the mean viable load (range 0 [non-viable] to 6.5) and tested associations with vaginal symptoms (coital lower abdominal pain, coital blood loss, intermenstrual bleeding, altered discharge, painful or frequent micturition) and rectal symptoms (discharge, pain, blood loss), using multivariable regression techniques adjusting for age and educational level.

**Results** Of 499 vaginal CT NAAT-positive women, mean viable load was 3.5 log10 CT/ml (SD: 1.6). Vaginal symptoms were reported by 50.3% (n=251) of women; women reporting any vaginal symptoms had higher vaginal viable load (mean 3.6 log10 CT/ml) than women without symptoms (mean 3.3 log10 CT/ml) (B=0.35, p=0.012) (mainly due to altered discharge). Of 436 rectal CT NAAT-positive women mean viable load was 2.2 log10 CT/ml (SD: 2.0); rectal symptoms were reported by 4.8% (n=21) and not associated with rectal viable load.

**Conclusion** In an outpatient clinical setting, women diagnosed with vaginal CT have a higher viable load when they have symptoms. Yet, the difference is quite small (0.3 log10 CT/ml) and is therefore unlikely to have a major impact on clinical patient management in women.

**Disclosure** No significant relationships.