

agar dilution as described by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Molecular genotyping was determined using *N. gonorrhoeae* multi-antigen sequence typing (NG-MAST).

Results In 2016–2017, NML received 8,300 *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates; 668 of the isolates were associated with multiple infection sites from a total of 307 cases. Of the 307 cases, 92.8% (n=285) had isolates with similar AMR profiles and the same NG-MAST ST. Twenty-two cases (7.2%) with isolates originating from multiple infection sites were found to have different AMR profiles and different STs. Of the 134 cases with throat and rectal isolates, 3.7% (5/134) had isolates with different STs. Of the 144 cases with both urogenital and rectal isolates, 6.3% (9/144) of isolates had different STs. Of the 132 cases with both urogenital and throat isolates, 9.9% (13/132) had different STs. Three cases had all three infections sites (throat, rectal and urogenital), each with different AMR profiles and different ST types.

Conclusion The majority of gonococcal cases with isolates from multiple infection sites have the same AMR profile and ST indicating a single infection. Approximately 7% of gonococcal cases with multiple infection site isolates were found to have very different AMR profiles and sequences types which may have implications in test-of-cure strategies, treatment failure investigations and surveillance programs.

Disclosure No significant relationships.

P632

REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN GONORRHOEA ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PATTERNS IN THE NETHERLANDS

¹Maartje Visser*, ²Hannelore Götz, ³Alje Van Dam, ¹Birgit Van Benthem. ¹National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Epidemiology and Surveillance, Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, Bilthoven, Netherlands; ²Municipal Public Health Service Rotterdam Rijnmond, Public Health/Sexual Health, Rotterdam, Netherlands; ³Municipal Public Health Service Amsterdam, Public Health Laboratory, Amsterdam, Netherlands

10.1136/sextrans-2019-sti.700

Background The Gonococcal Resistance to Antibiotics Surveillance (GRAS) programme was established in the Netherlands to monitor gonorrhoea resistance patterns. Until now, GRAS data were only analysed and presented on a national level. This study aims to gain insight into regional differences and the representativeness of GRAS.

Methods 18 STI clinics participate in GRAS and monitor resistance to azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime and ceftriaxone by performing culture and susceptibility testing with Etest for gonorrhoea patients. To describe differences in antimicrobial resistance levels between STI clinic regions, data from 2013–2017 was used. Antimicrobial resistance was defined based on EUCAST breakpoints. For azithromycin and ciprofloxacin, variables associated with resistance in univariate analyses were added to a multilevel logistic regression model containing a random intercept for region. We calculated the proportional change in variance (PCV) to assess to what extend regional variance in antibiotic resistance was explained by these variables. We included patient characteristics (e.g. sex, age, ethnicity, anatomical location of infection) and laboratory characteristics (sample method and selective culture medium).

Results In 2013–2017, almost 9,000 susceptibility tests were performed. Resistance to azithromycin was 11.6% (varying between regions from 2.0%–41.5%), ciprofloxacin 29.4% (12.8%–61.1%), cefotaxime 2.0% (0.0%–4.2%) and ceftriaxone 0.0%. The PCV after adding patient characteristics to the

model was 73.8% for ciprofloxacin, but for azithromycin –17.8%. For laboratory characteristics, these were 32.8% and 36.6%. Adding both patient and laboratory characteristics explained 78.6% of regional variance for ciprofloxacin, and 15.5% for azithromycin.

Conclusion Regional variations in antimicrobial resistance are reported, and need to be taken into account when interpreting national surveillance data. Further research is needed to determine the cause of these regional differences, including an evaluation of regional laboratory practices. Especially for azithromycin, as regional variance could not be explained by population characteristics.

Disclosure No significant relationships.

P633

LOW GONORRHOEA ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE AND CULTURE POSITIVITY RATES IN GENERAL PRACTICE: A PILOT STUDY

¹Maartje Visser*, ²Mireille Van Westreenen, ³Jan Van Bergen, ⁴Birgit Van Benthem. ¹National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Centre for Infectious Disease Control, Bilthoven, Netherlands; ²Erasmus Medical Center, Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Rotterdam, Netherlands; ³Amsterdam UMC, Location AMC, Department of General Practice, Division Clinical Methods and Public Health, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ⁴National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Epidemiology and Surveillance, Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, Bilthoven, Netherlands

10.1136/sextrans-2019-sti.701

Background In the Netherlands, the gonorrhoea resistance to antimicrobials surveillance (GRAS) programme is carried out at STI clinics, which provide care for high-risk populations. However, half of gonorrhoea infections are diagnosed in general practice (GP). We performed a pilot study to explore expanding GRAS to the GP population using laboratory-based surveillance. Additionally, antimicrobial resistance patterns of GP and STI clinic patients were compared.

Methods Three laboratories from different regions were included, which all perform gonorrhoea diagnostics for GPs and STI clinics and used eSwab for patient sampling. Additional culturing for all GP patients with gonorrhoea took place from February to July 2018. After positive PCR-NAAT test, residual eSwab material was used for culture. In positive cultures, susceptibility testing was performed for azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime and ceftriaxone using Etest.

Results During the study period, 484 samples were put in culture. 16.5% of cultures were positive (n=80). Antimicrobial resistance levels were low, with 2.6% resistance to azithromycin, 21.5% to ciprofloxacin and 0.0% to cefotaxime and ceftriaxone. Resistance levels in STI clinic GRAS data (first half of 2018) were 19.2% for azithromycin, 31.5% for ciprofloxacin, 1.9% for cefotaxime and 0.0% for ceftriaxone.

Conclusion Culture positivity rates for GP patients were low, probably due to long transportation times and awaiting PCR test results. Positivity rates might be improved by making changes in sampling and/or transportation methods, but that would require involvement of GPs and patients instead of keeping the surveillance lab-based. Resistance levels appeared to be much lower at the GP than at STI clinics, indicating that resistance might emerge first in more high-risk populations that visit the STI clinics. It is important to consider all potentially relevant patient populations when establishing a surveillance programme. Based on the findings from this study the current GRAS programme will not be extended to the GP population.