should be convenient for young people to come as well as the location should be strategic.
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**Background** Gonorrhea and chlamydia testing is poor among Chinese MSM with HIV risk. Furthermore, gonorrhea and chlamydia testing programs are poorly funded and unlinked to HIV testing services. Pay-it-forward offers an individual a gift (e.g. an STD test) and then asks whether they would like to give a gift to another person. This study assesses the effectiveness of a pay-it-forward program in increasing gonorrhea/chlamydia testing among MSM compared to standard of care.

**Methods** We conducted a cluster randomized controlled trial at three HIV testing sites run by MSM community-based organizations in Beijing and Guangzhou (NCT03741725). We included MSM aged 16 or older who had met indications for testing based on US CDC guidelines. Twenty clusters of 10 men each were randomized into pay-it-forward and standard of care arms. In pay-it-forward, men were offered free testing and then given the option to donate money toward future participants’ tests; in standard of care, testing was offered at the standard price of 150RMB (US$22). The primary outcome was dual gonorrhea/chlamydia test uptake ascertained by administrative record. Generalized estimating equations were used to assess the population-averaged effect of the pay-it-forward intervention. This analysis focuses on the primary comparison between pay-it-forward and standard of care.

**Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Uptake</th>
<th>Pay-it-forward</th>
<th>Standard of care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dual Test</td>
<td>56.4% (57/101)</td>
<td>18.0% (18/100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

Findings suggest that pay-it-forward increases gonorrhea/chlamydia testing and may inform the integration of STD and HIV testing services among MSM.
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**Background** Home-sampling is an increasingly used method to promote testing for Chlamydia trachomatis. Here, we implement and evaluate home-sampling for re-testing and peer-testing in young (<25 years) heterosexual men and women.

**Methods** At our STI clinics (Limburg, Netherlands, 2015–2018), indexes, i.e. young heterosexuals diagnosed with chlamydia, were (a) offered a self-sampling test for a peer, and (b) offered to receive an SMS-reminder after 5 months to order a re-test for themselves and a peer. Test offers were free-of-charge self-sampling tests for chlamydia and gonorrhea (urine sample for men; vaginal and rectal swabs for women). We interviewed care providers on implementation-barriers and facilitators and performed a process evaluation on test-uptake and overall (genital or rectal) STI positivity.

**Results** Of 1709 indexes (1221 women): (a) 571 (33.4%) were given a test for a peer; 211 (37.0%) peers tested and peer-positivity was 18.5% (17.9%) in women-including 3 cases of gonorrhea and 12.8% rectal chlamydia; 19.5% in men. (b) 1048 (61.3%) accepted to receive a re-test reminder; 417 (39.8%) ordered the re-test and 266 (63.8%) re-tested with 13.9% re-test positivity (15.6% in women—including 10.4% rectal chlamydia, 8.2% in men – including one gonorrhea case). 155/417 (37.2%) also ordered a peer-test; 62 (40%) peers tested but only 6.5% (n=4) were positive. In (a) and (b), nearly half of positive peers never tested before; rectal infections in women were frequently without a genital infection. Interviews with care-providers revealed problems in implementing logistics which could be solved by an easy-to-use online system.

**Conclusion** A future implementation using an online system needs to improve test-kit returns by including reminders or provisional payment, and strategies to help indexes find and motivate high risk peers. High STI positivity in peers (many first time testers) from clinic-indexes reveals the need to especially target these peers to tap into a hidden (untested) infected population.
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