ENSURING QUALITY-ASSURED AND PERSONALIZED ONLINE SELF-TESTING WITHIN A MARKET-DRIVEN CONTEXT

Background The number of private and online providers of STI tests is increasing in the Netherlands. The autonomy and accessibility of online self-testing may contribute to timely diagnosis, lower healthcare costs and shorter waiting lists at STI clinics. But ill-informed self-testing can also lead to under-diagnosis and insufficient partner management of STIs. To improve linkage to high quality private testing providers the online advice application Advies.chat was launched in 2017. We assessed process indicators for the successful implementation of this online advice instrument in 2018.

Methods The application generates tailored advices based on clinical guidelines. The questionnaire takes into account personal characteristics, sexual behaviour, sexual risks and symptoms. The advice refers to STI testing providers if testing is indicated and explains which specific STIs need to be tested for. All test advices refer to GP’s and the specific diagnostic tests offered by selected online testing providers. Key populations (MSM, sex workers and young people < 25 years) are also referred to STI clinics. Anonymous process data from the Advies.chat database were analysed.

Results Advies.chat was visited 337,736 times in 2018; 113,257 visitors started the questionnaire, 17,449 the chatbot. Visits increased on Sundays, peaked on Mondays and decreased during the week. The most indicated reason for using Advies.chat was the ‘possibility of being STI or HIV infected’ (75%). Around 60% finished the questionnaire, leading to 65,736 advices and 8,700 clicks to online self-test providers.

Conclusion Online self-management tools can play a keyrole in improving the quality of the growing online STI testing market. Advies.chat shows that online triage and tailored advice is feasible and increases traffic to quality testing providers. The contribution of Advies.chat to the estimated 430,000 consultations at GP’s and STI clinics in the Netherlands is sizable. Methods need to be developed to assess the impact of online self-management and self-testing on STI control.
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THE THREE RS: RECALLS, REMINDERS AND RETESTING FOR CHLAMYDIA – VIEWS OF GPS AND YOUNG ADULTS

Background Chlamydia reinfection increases the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease. Reinfection is common in Australia and while clinical guidelines recommend retesting 3 months post-treatment, less than 25% are retested. We aimed to examine general practitioner (GP) and patient views on retesting for chlamydia and recall/reminder systems to facilitate retesting.

Methods As part of a trial of chlamydia testing in general practice, GPS were provided with resources and support to implement recall/reminder systems to increase retesting. GPS’ attitudes and practices were examined pre- and mid-intervention using semi-structured interviews. Semi-structured interviews were also conducted with patients throughout the trial. Data were analysed thematically.

Results 44 GPS undertook a pre-intervention and 24 a mid-intervention interview; 22 patients were interviewed. GPS viewed recalls/reminders as essential to a formal chlamydia control program. There was disparity in whether systems to enable retesting were adopted during the intervention. Barriers to implementing these systems included concerns about costs and time required to ‘chase up’ patients; these barriers persisted during the intervention. Concerns about privacy were raised by most GPS but not patients. Over half of patients were not provided with advice about retesting at the time of their initial chlamydia test. Of the four patients who tested positive, two were retested as per guidelines. Patients were universally supportive of receiving reminders for chlamydia retesting, though retesting when at the clinic for another reason was viewed as ‘more practical’. Patients did not have strong preferences about reminder type (letter, SMS, email). Knowledge gaps were identified by both GPS and patients, and GPS identified a need to improve knowledge of the risks of chlamydia reinfection.

Conclusion GPS raised more concerns about retesting and reminders than patients. Increasing GP and patient knowledge of the risks of reinfection is crucial. GPS require additional support to implement strategies to increase re-testing.
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