ABSTRACT
We present an apparent second episode of mpox (monkeypox) genital ulcerative disease in a non-immunosuppressed MSM (man who has sex with men) patient who had completely recovered from a primary mpox infection 4 months previously. The patient had also received a complete two-dose course of smallpox vaccination between the two presentations. This case highlights the importance of continuing to include mpox in the differential diagnoses for individuals presenting with genital or mucosal ulceration, regardless of assumed immunity derived from prior infection or vaccination.

BACKGROUND
In 2022, the first multicountry outbreak of mpox (monkeypox) was recognised outside of Africa,1 with the majority of cases being managed in sexual health services. Most people have presented with genital, perianal or non-genital skin lesions and lymphadenopathy;2 but there have also been atypical presentations3 such as proctitis.4,5 It is unclear whether primary mpox infection leads to lasting immunity from reinfection.6 We present a case of laboratory-confirmed second mpox infection diagnosed 12 weeks after recovery from the initial infection.

PRESENTATION AND INVESTIGATIONS
A white man in his early 30s presented in July 2022 to a sexual health clinic in South West England with a recent history of inguinal lymphadenopathy, rectal discharge and rectal pain. Examination revealed obvious rectal discharge only and no external or mucosal skin lesions. He was treated empirically for proctitis with 2 weeks of doxycycline and 1 week of aciclovir. A rectal swab taken on this occasion tested positive for mpox, and he was given routine isolation advice, making an uncomplicated recovery within 2 weeks. He received two doses of Jynneos smallpox vaccination as part of the nationwide programme—the first dose given subcutaneously 1 week after this presentation and the second dose given subcutaneously 10 weeks after that. In November 2022, he re-presented with a 3-day

Table 1 Results of investigations in relation to clinical presentation, attendance and vaccination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1*</th>
<th>T11†</th>
<th>T16‡</th>
<th>T20§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First attendance</td>
<td>Vaccine dose 1</td>
<td>Vaccine dose 2</td>
<td>Second attendance</td>
<td>Third attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms and signs</td>
<td>Rectal pain, rectal discharge and inguinal lymphadenopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal pain, rectal discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations (sites)</td>
<td>CT/GC NAAT (Aptima Combo, Hologic) (rectal and pharyngeal swabs, urine)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>GC positive (rectal)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSV PCR (in-house lab based test at UKHSA, Bristol) (rectal, etc)</td>
<td>HSV-2 positive (rectal)</td>
<td>Negative (anal sore)</td>
<td>Negative (mouth ulcer)</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.pallidum PCR (in-house lab based test at UKHSA, Colindale) (rectal etc)</td>
<td>Negative (rectal)</td>
<td>Negative (anal sore)</td>
<td>Negative (ulcer in mouth)</td>
<td>Negative (rectal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orthopoxivirus DNA PCR and mpox virus PCR (UKHSA lab developed test) (rectal, etc)</td>
<td>Positive (rectal)</td>
<td>Positive (anal sore)</td>
<td>Negative (ulcer in mouth)</td>
<td>Negative (rectal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIV (Architect HIV Ag/Ab combo assay (Abbott)) (serum)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis C (Architect anti-HCV assay (Abbott)) (serum)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPR (rapid plasma reagin) (serum)</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>Positive neat</td>
<td>Positive neat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 week after first attendance.
†11 weeks after first attendance.
‡16 weeks after first attendance.
§4 weeks after second attendance.

history of headache, backache and neck pain. He had no focal neurological signs on examination. There was a 2 mm aphthous ulcer on his right lower gum and a 1 mm ulcer 2 cm within the anal canal as well as tender left-sided raised cervical lymph nodes. He reported numerous casual male sexual partners over the preceding 2 weeks. The anal ulcer tested positive for mpox, and again he had an uncomplicated recovery within 2 weeks. Significant medical history included a diagnosis of neurosyphilis associated with syphilis seroconversion the previous year, for which he had completed a treatment course. The patient had, in the past, been treated for rectal Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae infections. He had no other comorbidity and took PrEP (HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis) only with no other prescribed or recreational drugs.

OTHER INVESTIGATIONS
Results are summarised in table 1. Both mpox positive swab results were confirmed by the UKHSA Rare & Imported Pathogens Laboratory, Porton Down. Both episodes were accordingly diagnosed as mpox proctitis. A repeat rectal swab taken 2 weeks after recovery from the second episode tested negative for mpox. The patient tested positive for rectal gonorrhoea at this time.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
During both presentations, chlamydia (including lymphogranuloma venereum), gonorrhoea, herpes simplex virus, syphilis and mpox were all considered in the differential.

TREATMENT
At his second attendance, the patient was extremely anxious about a recurrence of neurosyphilis and was offered empirical treatment with oral prednisolone, oral probenecid and intramuscular procaine penicillin while awaiting syphilis serology. Treatment was terminated once syphilis reinfecion had been ruled out by a static RPR.

DISCUSSION
As far as we are aware, there have not been any other published cases of reinfection during the 2022 mpox outbreak. An alternative explanation to reinfection is that mpox infection persisted following first infection during the intervening period. This is unlikely to explain the new clinical signs and prodomal illness the patient experienced at the second presentation, which could not be attributed to any other investigated STI. Persistence is also unlikely, given that time from infection to viral clearance is typically less than 6 weeks and the repeat rectal swab 2 weeks after the second presentation was negative for mpox. Nucleotide sequence comparison of mpox DNA detected in both clinical episodes and additional tests between episodes would be required to shed further light on this. Meanwhile, this case informs the advice health professionals give to patients about mpox and clearly indicates including mpox infection in the differential for patients presenting with mucocutaneous lesions, regardless of prior infection or vaccination status.

Learning points

⇒ Patients with a prior confirmed mpox infection presenting with signs and symptoms of mpox at a later date should be retested for mpox.
⇒ Patients who have previously received a full course of smallpox vaccination presenting with signs and symptoms of mpox should have mpox testing included in a full panel of investigations.
⇒ Further investigation is needed to investigate the degree of immunity offered by mpox infection and how this is affected by subsequent smallpox vaccination.
⇒ Further investigation is needed to investigate the degree of immunity offered by a course of smallpox vaccination and how this is affected by a previous mpox infection.
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