

COVID-19, fear and sexual behaviour: a survey in a tertiary STI clinic in Lisbon

COVID-19 lockdowns impose constraints on sexual health services and related medical activities. Our STI clinic favoured telemedicine as advised by specialist societies,¹ illustrating the feasibility of maintaining STI care provision during a state of emergency. Recommendations regarding changes to sexual behaviour were also provided.² At least theoretically, lockdown could lead to behavioural changes and a reduction in the risk of STIs. To date, published reports indicate that during the lockdown of Spring 2020, STI incidence was either comparable³ or reduced^{4,5} relative to previous years. Whether the apparent reduction indicates a true epidemiological change or rather an underestimation due to lack of presentation for diagnosis remains to be determined.

We therefore aimed to explore the effect of the exceptional challenges imposed by lockdowns on human behaviour and sexual health. The cross-sectional study was conducted in a drop-in STI clinic of the Dermatology Department of Hospital Santo António dos Capuchos, a tertiary hospital in Lisbon. A written questionnaire (online supplemental file 2) was offered to all 343 patients who attended an inperson STI consultation between 5 May and 30 June 2020, that is, starting the month after the strictest national phase of lockdown was lifted. Overall 129 of 343 (37.6%) patients returned a valid and complete questionnaire. The majority (112, 86.8%) of the consenting respondents were male and were thus considered our study sample, although we had initially intended to evaluate all genders. Demographic data, sexual orientation and behaviour, reported fear of STI and COVID-19, and substance use were collected to reflect the previous lockdown period (between 18 March and 30 April). STI diagnosis corresponding to the data collection period was also collected.

Among the 112 male respondents, the mean age was 32 years (range 18–58). Sexual behaviour was self-reported as men who had sex with men (MSM) by 79 (70.5%), heterosexual by 21 (18.8%) and bisexual by 12 (10.7%). Twenty-nine (25.9%) patients were HIV-positive. Most respondents—62 (78.5%) MSM, 8 (38.1%) heterosexuals and 9 (75.0%) bisexuals—described a change in sexual behaviour during the lockdown, namely ‘reducing’ the number of sex partners (table 1 and online supplemental file

Table 1 Behavioural changes during the lockdown period


		Self-reported sexual orientation		
		MSM	Heterosexual	Bisexual
Total, n (%)	112 (100)	79 (70.5)	21 (18.8)	12 (10.7)
Change in sexual behaviour, n (%)	Yes	62 (78.5)	8 (38.1)	9 (75.0)
	No	17 (21.5)	13 (62.0)	3 (25.0)
	Abstinence	19 (30.6)	1 (12.5)	2 (22.2)
	Fewer sexual partners	43 (69.4)	7 (87.5)	7 (77.8)
Increased use of psychoactive agents, n (%)	Yes	21 (26.6)	5 (24.0)	2 (16.7)
	No	58 (73.4)	16 (76.2)	10 (83.3)

MSM, men who had sex with men.

1). Psychoactive substance use was described as increased in 28 (25.0%) respondents, consisting mostly of alcohol, but also drugs and anxiolytics (table 1).

Considering the reported fear of STIs, this was described as high for HIV (n=83, 28.9% of the HIV-negative population), *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT)/*Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) (n=48, 42.9%) and syphilis (n=43, 38.4%). Overall, 38 (33.9%) described a high fear of COVID-19. During the data collection period, STIs were diagnosed in 37 (33.0%) respondents overall, including 26 (32.9%) MSM, 4 (19.0%) heterosexuals and 7 (58.3%) bisexuals, and comprising 17 cases of NG, 11 cases of CT, 11 cases of syphilis and 3 cases of HIV.

Our preliminary data suggest that the COVID-19 pandemic is influencing sexual behaviour among the predominantly MSM study population. Perhaps different results could have been expected due to prejudice against this population of patients. STI diagnoses nevertheless occurred. Our results emphasise that pandemic interventions should not overlook the significance of sexuality in overall health.

Ana Luísa João ,¹ André Lencastre,^{2,3} Joana Calvão,⁴ Ana Rodrigues,¹ Cândida Fernandes¹

¹Dermatology Department, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central EPE, Lisboa, Portugal

²Dermatology Department, Hospital de Cascais Dr Jose de Almeida, Alcabideche, Portugal

³Dermatology Department, Hospital da Luz, Lisboa, Portugal

⁴Dermatology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra EPE, Coimbra, Portugal

Correspondence to Dr Ana Luísa João, Dermatology Department, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central EPE, Lisboa, Portugal; luisajoa02@gmail.com

Handling editor Anna Maria Geretti

Contributors ALJ was involved in the design and conduct of the survey and in writing the manuscript. AL collaborated in the design of the survey and in the revision of the article. JC collaborated in the conduct of the survey. AR and CF collaborated in the design and conduct of the survey and in the revision of the article.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Ethics approval Institutional ethics committee guidelines were applied and approval was waived. The ethics committee number is CHULC - CI nº 033/2020.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite João AL, Lencastre A, Calvão J, *et al.* *Sex Transm Infect* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2020-054834

Received 15 October 2020

Revised 12 December 2020

Accepted 22 December 2020

Sex Transm Infect 2021;0:1.

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2020-054834

ORCID iD

Ana Luísa João <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2489-0138>

REFERENCES

- Napoleon SC, Maynard MA, Almonte A, *et al.* Considerations for STI clinics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sex Transm Dis* 2020;47:431–3.
- The British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH). Sex, social distancing and COVID-19 (coronavirus), 2020. Available: <https://members.bashh.org/Documents/COVID-19/Sex%20Social%20Distancing%20COVID19%20-%20BASHH%20FAQs%20-%2020200320.pdf> [Accessed 12 December 2020].
- Balestri R, Magnano M, Rizzoli L, *et al.* STIs and the COVID-19 pandemic: the lockdown does not stop sexual infections. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2020;34. doi:10.1111/jdv.16808. [Epub ahead of print: 11 July 2020].
- Latini A, Magri F, Donà MG, *et al.* Is COVID-19 affecting the epidemiology of STIs? the experience of syphilis in Rome. *Sex Transm Infect* 2020. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2020-054543. [Epub ahead of print: 27 Jul 2020].
- Cusini M, Benardon S, Vidoni G, *et al.* Trend of main STIs during COVID-19 pandemic in Milan, Italy. *Sex Transm Infect* 2020. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2020-054608. [Epub ahead of print: Aug 2020].