

Supplementary material 5: Quality of evidence assessment with GRADE.

n of participants (n of studies)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Quality of evidence	Summary of evidence
MG infection - point prevalence							
2,135 participants (12 studies)	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	not detected	⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE	16.68% (95%CI 13.57-20.33) 95%PI 8.15-31.09
Macrolide-resistant MG infection - point prevalence							
63 participants (3 studies)	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	serious ^b	not detected	⊕⊕ LOW	82.58% (95%CI 70.07-90.57) 95%PI 4.66-99.78
Fluoroquinolone-resistant MG infection - point prevalence							
14 participants (1 study)	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^c	not detected	⊕ VERY LOW	14.29% (95%CI 1.78-42.81)

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; 95%PI: 95% prediction interval; MG: *Mycoplasma genitalium*.

^a Studies presented important risk of bias arising from the identification and inclusion of participants in the sample, which may lead to differences between the sample evaluated and the target population.

^b Few patients included in the analysis and wide confidence interval.

^c Very few patients included in the analysis and very wide confidence interval.